

of these integrals, the pole at $s = 1$. (Poles at $s = 3$ and beyond will have subdominant behavior.) Thus, we need only consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=1} \left[R^{-s/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)} \right] &= \frac{\log R}{2\sqrt{R}} + \frac{\log 2}{\sqrt{R}} \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} \left[-R^{-s/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)^2} \right] &= -\frac{\log^2 R}{8\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\log 2 \log R}{2\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\pi^2 + 6 \log^2 2}{12\sqrt{R}} \end{aligned}$$

The above residues were evaluated by noting that the pole at $s = 1$ is a double pole in the former integrand and a triple pole in the latter integrand. Now we may take these results and plug them into the limit expression for the original integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dx \frac{\log^2 x}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(-2\pi \int_0^R dx \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x(1+x)}} + \pi \log^2 R - \frac{\pi^3}{3} \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(-2\pi \left[2\sqrt{R} \log R \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1+Rt^2}} + 4\sqrt{R} \int_0^1 dt \frac{\log t}{\sqrt{1+Rt^2}} \right] + \pi \log^2 R - \frac{\pi^3}{3} \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(-2\pi \left[2\sqrt{R} \log R \left(\frac{\log R}{2\sqrt{R}} + \frac{\log 2}{\sqrt{R}} \right) + 4\sqrt{R} \left(-\frac{\log^2 R}{8\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\log 2 \log R}{2\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\pi^2 + 6 \log^2 2}{12\sqrt{R}} \right) \right] + \pi \log^2 R - \frac{\pi^3}{3} \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(-2\pi \log^2 R - 4\pi \log 2 \log R + \pi \log^2 R + 4\pi \log 2 \log R + \frac{2\pi^3 + 12\pi \log^2 2}{3} + \pi \log^2 R - \frac{\pi^3}{3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that all terms depending on R cancel, and we finally have

$$\int_0^1 dx \frac{\log^2 x}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} = \frac{\pi^3}{3} + 4\pi \log^2 2$$

I know this was a long read, but I hope by introducing the Mellin transform approach to determining the limit we needed, the approach ended up expressing the original integral in terms of other integrals that could be evaluated – or in this case, approximated, more simply. I think it led to completely new places to which I have never been, and I hope this leads the way to more interesting integral evaluations using complex analysis.

4 COMMENTS



jagordonblog wrote:
December 11, 2017 at 1:31 am

I like dogs.



Ron wrote:
December 11, 2017 at 1:30 am

I like taking dog-arithms.



Frank wrote:
December 23, 2017 at 9:53 pm

There's an error with your latex, I don't think it recognizes \operatornamename.



Ron wrote:
December 27, 2017 at 5:39 am

Frank, thanks for writing in. It is the Mathjax server more than anything – it will render everything after a few reloads. I have been trying to understand the problem but at this point all I can say is, keep reloading until the inline equations and the operatorname stuff renders properly. It does, eventually.