

CHANGE OF VARIABLE IN DOUBLE INTEGRALS -THE JACOBIAN MATRIX-

→ THEOREM

LET R AND S BE REGIONS IN THE uv AND xy PLANES RESPECTIVELY. SUPPOSE

$$\vec{T}: R \rightarrow S, \vec{T}(u,v) = \langle x(u,v), y(u,v) \rangle$$

IS A COORDINATE TRANSFORM OF CLASS C^1 THAT MAPS R ONTO S IN A ONE-ONE FASHION. IF $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ IS AN INTEGRABLE FUNCTION, THEN

$$\iint_S f(x,y) dA = \iint_R f[x(u,v), y(u,v)] \left| \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)} \right| du dv$$

WHERE THE JACOBIAN

$$\left| \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)} \right| \equiv \| \vec{T}_u(u,v) \times \vec{T}_v(u,v) \|$$

- PRELIMINARY NOTATION

$$1) \Delta S_{ij} \equiv \text{AREA}(S_{ij})$$

$$2) \Sigma(1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \Delta S_{ij}$$

$$3) \Sigma(2) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f[x(u,v), y(u,v)] \|\vec{T}_u(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1}) \times \vec{T}_v(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1})\| \Delta v_j \Delta u_i$$

$$4) \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{|P| \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(1) \equiv \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{|P| \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(2)$$

$$5) \vec{a}_{ij} \equiv \vec{T}(u_{i-1} + \Delta u_i, v_{j-1}) - \vec{T}(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1})$$

$$6) \vec{b}_{ij} \equiv \vec{T}(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1} + \Delta v_j) - \vec{T}(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1})$$

• PROOF

- WE BEGIN BY PROVING THE THEOREM IN THE SPECIAL CASE WHEREIN S IS THE IMAGE OF A RECTANGULAR REGION R THRU THE C^1 , 1-1 TRANSFORM, $\vec{T}: R \rightarrow S$.

$$R = \{(u, v) \mid \alpha \leq u \leq \beta \wedge \gamma \leq v \leq \xi\}$$

- WE CONSIDER "REGULAR" PARTITIONS WHEREIN $[\alpha, \beta]$ IS DIVIDED INTO m INTERVALS OF EQUAL WIDTH $\wedge [\gamma, \xi]$ IS DIVIDED INTO n INTERVALS OF EQUAL WIDTH.

FOR FIXED $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists mn$ SUBREGIONS WITHIN R WE MAY CALL R_{ij} , \wedge FOR EACH $R_{ij} \exists$ A CORRESPONDING IMAGE IN S WE MAY CALL S_{ij} !

$$S_{ij} = \vec{T}(R_{ij})$$

- WE PRESCRIBE A RULE OF ASSIGNMENT. FOR EACH R_{ij} , WE CHOOSE THE LOWER LEFT CORNER!

$$P_{ij} \equiv (u_{i-1}, v_{j-1})$$

NOTE THAT THE POINT P_{ij} CORRESPONDS TO A POINT IN THE xy -PLANE LAYING AT SOME CORNER OF S_{ij} !

$$\vec{T}(u_{i-1}, v_{j-1}) \Rightarrow (x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \equiv P'_{ij}$$

- WITH THE NOTATION, PARTITIONING \wedge R.O.A. ARGUMENTS MADE, WE MAY SUBMIT PROOF VIA THE FOLLOWING TWO LEMMAS!

→ LEMMA #1

$$\lim \sum (1) = \lim \sum (2)$$

• PROOF OF LEMMA #1

— LEMMA #1 MAY BE PROVEN ANALYTICALLY BY SHOWING THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT HOLDS

(p) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$!

$$\left| \sum (1) - \sum (2) \right| < \epsilon$$

if $m, n \geq \lambda$

— BECAUSE \vec{T} IS OF CLASS C^1 , AS $|P| \rightarrow 0$ OVER THE REGION R , EACH $S_{ij} \cong \square$, AS EACH OF THE FOUR COORDINATE CURVES BOUNDING S_{ij} ARE CERTAINLY \approx CONGRUENT TO LINES OVER $\Delta v_i \wedge \Delta v_j$. WE MAY WRITE

$$(R_1) \quad \Delta S_{ij} = \text{AREA}(\square_{ij}) + \epsilon_1(|P|)$$

— A \square_{ij} SPANNING S_{ij} MAY BE FOUND EASILY, FOR IT IS SPANNED BY $\vec{a}_{ij} \wedge \vec{b}_{ij}$. $\therefore \text{AREA}(\square_{ij}) = \|\vec{a}_{ij} \times \vec{b}_{ij}\|$. NOTE THAT

$$\vec{a}_{ij} \approx \Delta v_i \vec{T}_v(P_{ij}) \wedge \vec{b}_{ij} \approx \Delta v_j \vec{T}_v(P_{ij})$$

SINCE AS $|P| \rightarrow 0$, THE ABOVE $\approx \rightarrow =$, WE MAY WRITE

$$(R_2) \quad \text{AREA}(\square_{ij}) = \|\vec{T}_v(v_{i-1}, v_{j-1}) \times \vec{T}_v(v_{i-1}, v_{j-1})\| \Delta v_j \Delta v_i + \epsilon_2(|P|)$$

- SINCE $|P| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$, IT IS CLEAR THAT FOR ANY $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ | IF $m, n > \lambda$, THE INEQUALITY IN (p) HOLDS TRUE. THIS IMPLIES THAT

$$\lim \sum (1) = \lim \sum (2)$$

AS DESIRED.

Q.E.D.

→ LEMMA #2

$$\iint_S f(x, y) dA = \lim \sum (1)$$

• PROOF OF LEMMA #2

- LEMMA #2 MAY BE PROVEN ANALYTICALLY BY SHOWING THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT HOLDS

(p) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ |

$$\left| \iint_S f(x, y) dA - \sum (1) \right| < \epsilon$$

if $m, n > \lambda$

- OBVIOUSLY WE MAY MAKE $\sum (1)$ ARBITRARILY CLOSE TO $\iint_S f(x, y) dA$ BY CHOOSING P TO BE SUFFICIENTLY FINE OVER R . SO (p) HOLDS.

Q.E.D.

- WE CONCLUDE, BY THEOREMS 1 & 2,

$$(R_2) \iint_S f(x, y) dA = \lim \sum (2)$$

$$= \iint_R f[x(u, v), y(u, v)] \|\vec{T}_u(u, v) \times \vec{T}_v(u, v)\| du dv$$

$$= \iint_R f[x(u, v), y(u, v)] \left| \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(u, v)} \right| du dv$$

- (R_2) MAY BE GENERALIZED USING FUBINI'S METHODOLOGY.

Q.E.D.