

This two-part problem is from O'Neill's Elementary Differential Geometry, section 2.5. It is in the second statement of the second part where I'm unclear, beginning with "Thus."

Full problem:

Let W be a vector field defined on a region containing a regular curve a . Then $W \circ a$ (i.e. W composed with a) is a vector field on a called the restriction of W to a .

1. Prove that $\nabla_{a'(t)}W = (W(a))'(t)$.

2. Deduce that the straight line in Definition 5.1 (below) may be replaced by any curve with initial velocity v . Thus the derivative Y' of a vector field Y on a curve a is (almost) $\nabla_{a'}Y$.

Definition 5.1. Let W be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 , and let v be a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^3 at the point p . The the covariant derivative of W with respect to v is the tangent vector $(W(p + tv))'(0)$ at the point p .

The following definition is useful for part (2) since it distinguishes between a vector field and a vector field on a curve.

Definition 2.2. A vector field on a curve a from I to \mathbb{R}^3 is a function that assigns to each number t in I a tangent vector $Y(t)$ to \mathbb{R}^3 at the point $a(t)$.

My attempt, and where I'm stuck:

Part (1) was fairly straight-forward, using the definitions of covariant derivative and what it means to differentiate a composition.

Part (2) has two parts. My approach to the first part is the following, and I believe it to be correct. The idea is to define a function on curves $a(t)$ and show that it agrees with the covariant derivative with respect to a vector v at a point p for all curves $a(t)$ such that $a(0) = p$ and $a'(0) = v$. Part (1) can be used to show the function is well-defined and that it indeed equals the covariant.

The second part, starting at "Thus" is where I'm having trouble. It's with the use of the word "almost." To me, if Y is a vector field on a curve a , then using the definition of covariant, straight-line or otherwise, makes no sense because $Y \circ a$ is not defined (i.e., Y is not defined on \mathbb{R}^3 , only \mathbb{R}). So I thought this might be the almost part. However, what's 'almost' about it? I was thinking that perhaps given Y on a that a vector field \bar{Y} could be defined such that $\bar{Y} \circ a = Y$, and that then Y' would equal the covariant of \bar{Y} instead of Y . However, I don't think I can 'always' define such a \bar{Y} .