

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} \quad \text{where } p = mv \quad h = \text{planck constant}$$

should apply to any particle,
even massive ones (such as a ball moving through space)

Both methods of deriving this lead to Contradiction.

Method 1: For an electromagnetic wave:

$$E = hf = h \frac{c}{\lambda} \quad f = \text{frequency}$$

(A) The assumption is that for particles, $E = hf$ as well.

Additionally, $E = mc^2$

(B) $mc^2 = h \frac{c}{\lambda}$

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mc}$$

but for particles not travelling at c , we can substitute c for v .

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$$

The problem is that, even if assumption (A) is correct, the substitution is made too early. It would have to be done at (B)

$$mv^2 = h \frac{v}{\lambda} \quad \text{note: } \frac{v}{\lambda} = f \text{ still}$$

The problem is that mv^2 is meaningless, it no longer means energy, since $E = mc^2$ regardless of velocity. (Note, v is not large, so kinetic energy is negligible, hence $E = mc^2$ and not $mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$)

So thus, $mv^2 \neq h \frac{v}{\lambda}$, since $E = h \frac{v}{\lambda}$ but $E \neq mv^2$

The assumption would then be to simply leave it as $mc^2 = h \frac{v}{\lambda}$, since both sides are equal to E . However, it now becomes impossible to cancel out the c 's from both sides of the equation. The derived equation would then be $\lambda = \frac{hv}{mc^2}$, which is not $\lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$.

Method 2:

For a photon, one equation of finding wavelength is $\lambda = \frac{h}{p}$

here, p , the momentum, is not equal to mv ,
but rather, the relationship between
 m and p is:

$$E^2 = m_0^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2 \quad \text{where } m_0 = \text{rest mass of an object}$$

- This is significant because
photons travel near the speed of light.

Since photons have 0 rest mass

$$(C.) \quad E^2 = p^2 c^2 \rightarrow E = pc$$

Combining this with $E = hf$

$$(D.) \quad hf = pc$$

$$\frac{c}{f} = \frac{h}{p}$$

$$(E.) \quad \lambda = \frac{h}{p}$$

The assumption made is that for particles with $m_0 > 0$ and $v < c$, p can be substituted with mv to yield $\lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$

However, we were only able to get (E) because we cancelled the term $m_0^2 c^4$ in (C). For particles with $m_0 > 0$, $E = \sqrt{m_0^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2}$,

so instead of (D) the substitution yields

$$\sqrt{m_0^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2} = hf$$

$$m_0^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2 = h^2 f^2$$

only now can we substitute

$$p = mv$$

$$m_0^2 c^4 + m_0^2 v^2 c^2 = h^2 f^2$$

$$(\text{can't isolate } \lambda). \lambda = \frac{v}{f}$$

where is the error in my thinking?