

**Proposition 19.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1.

- (1) Prime ideals are primary.
- (2) The ideal  $Q$  is primary if and only if every zero divisor in  $R/Q$  is nilpotent.
- (3) If  $Q$  is primary then  $\text{rad } Q$  is a prime ideal, and is the unique smallest prime ideal containing  $Q$ .
- (4) If  $Q$  is an ideal whose radical is a maximal ideal, then  $Q$  is a primary ideal.
- (5) Suppose  $M$  is a maximal ideal and  $Q$  is an ideal with  $M^n \subseteq Q \subseteq M$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $Q$  is a primary ideal with  $\text{rad } Q = M$ .

*Proof:* The first two statements are immediate from the definition of a primary ideal. For (3), suppose  $ab \in \text{rad } Q$ . Then  $a^m b^m = (ab)^m \in Q$ , and since  $Q$  is primary, either  $a^m \in Q$ , in which case  $a \in \text{rad } Q$ , or  $(b^m)^n \in Q$  for some positive integer  $n$ , in which case  $b \in \text{rad } Q$ . This proves that  $\text{rad } Q$  is a prime ideal, and it follows that  $\text{rad } Q$  is the smallest prime ideal containing  $Q$  (Proposition 12).

To prove (4) we pass to the quotient ring  $R/Q$ ; by (2), it suffices to show that every zero divisor in this quotient ring is nilpotent. We are reduced to the situation where  $Q = (0)$  and  $M = \text{rad } Q = \text{rad}(0)$ , which is the nilradical, is a maximal ideal. Since the nilradical is contained in every prime ideal (Proposition 12), it follows that  $M$  is the unique prime ideal, so also the unique maximal ideal. If  $d$  were a zero divisor, then the ideal  $(d)$  would be a proper ideal, hence contained in a maximal ideal. This implies that  $d \in M$ , hence every zero divisor is indeed nilpotent.

Finally, suppose  $M^n \subseteq Q \subseteq M$  for some  $n \geq 1$  where  $M$  is a maximal ideal. Then  $Q \subseteq M$  so  $\text{rad } Q \subseteq \text{rad } M = M$ . Conversely,  $M^n \subseteq Q$  shows that  $M \subseteq \text{rad } Q$ , so  $\text{rad } Q = M$  is a maximal ideal, and  $Q$  is primary by (4).

**Definition.** If  $Q$  is a primary ideal, then the prime ideal  $P = \text{rad } Q$  is called the *associated prime* to  $Q$ , and  $Q$  is said to *belong* to  $P$  (or to be  *$P$ -primary*).

It is easy to check that a finite intersection of  $P$ -primary ideals is again a  $P$ -primary ideal (cf. the exercises).

### Examples

- (1) The primary ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are 0 and the ideals  $(p^m)$  for  $p$  a prime and  $m \geq 1$ .
- (2) For any field  $k$ , the ideal  $(x)$  in  $k[x, y]$  is primary since it is a prime ideal. For any  $n \geq 1$ , the ideal  $(x, y)^n$  is primary since it is a power of the maximal ideal  $(x, y)$ .
- (3) The ideal  $Q = (x^2, y)$  in the polynomial ring  $k[x, y]$  is primary since we have  $(x, y)^2 \subseteq (x^2, y) \subseteq (x, y)$ . Similarly,  $Q' = (4, x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a  $(2, x)$ -primary ideal.
- (4) Primary ideals need not be powers of prime ideals. For example, the primary ideal  $Q$  in the previous example is not the power of a prime ideal, as follows. If  $(x^2, y) = P^k$  for some prime ideal  $P$  and some  $k \geq 1$ , then  $x^2, y \in P^k \subseteq P$  so  $x, y \in P$ . Then  $P = (x, y)$ , and since  $y \notin (x, y)^2$ , it would follow that  $k = 1$  and  $Q = (x, y)$ . Since  $x \notin (x^2, y)$ , this is impossible.
- (5) If  $R$  is Noetherian, and  $Q$  is a primary ideal belonging to the prime ideal  $P$ , then

$$P^m \subseteq Q \subseteq P$$

for some  $m \geq 1$  by Proposition 14. If  $P$  is a maximal ideal, then the last statement in Proposition 19 shows that the converse also holds. This is not necessarily true if  $P$