

I have proved That A vector field can be decomposed in

$$\vec{u}(\vec{r}) = -\nabla \left\{ \int_V \frac{\nabla' \cdot \vec{u}(\vec{r}')}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{r}' - \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \cdot \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}' \right\} \\ + \nabla \times \left\{ \int_V \frac{\nabla' \times \vec{u}(\vec{r}')}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{r}' + \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \times \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}' \right\} \quad *$$

Then I have found different definitions of Helmholtz-decomposition in bounded and unbounded domains, with 2 or 3 Terms

- in unbounded domains where $\vec{u}(\vec{r})$ vanishes at ∞ the two surface integrals vanish and we have

$$\vec{u}(\vec{r}) = -\nabla D + \nabla \times \vec{R}$$

- in bounded domains I have found two different forms of the theorem that are valid with proper boundary conditions

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \vec{u}(\vec{r}) = \nabla D + \vec{v}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \vec{u}(\vec{r}) = \nabla D + \nabla \times \vec{R} + \vec{h}$$

↓

is not clear to me the correspondence of the terms in these two forms of the decomposition with the expanded one *

I think is

$$D = \int_V \frac{\nabla' \cdot \vec{u}(\vec{r}')}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{r}'$$

$$\vec{R} = \int_V \frac{\nabla' \times \vec{u}(\vec{r}')}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{r}'$$

$$\vec{v} = \nabla \times \left[\int_V \frac{\nabla' \times \vec{u}(\vec{r}')}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{r}' + \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \times \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}' \right] + \nabla \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \cdot \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}'$$

$$\vec{h} = -\nabla \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \cdot \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}' + \nabla \times \int_{\partial V} \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{r}') \times \vec{n}}{4\pi(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}\, d\vec{a}'$$