



$$\sum \vec{F}_{\text{ext}} = m \vec{a} \quad (\text{Newton's 2nd law})$$

x is the position of the point mass

\dot{x} is the velocity of the point mass

\ddot{x} is the acceleration of the point mass

Using the above notation, Newton's 2nd law can be re-written as:

$$m \ddot{x} = u_1 T_1 + u_2 T_2$$

$$\text{defining } \underline{u} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m \ddot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since a state space representation involves first derivatives only, we pose:

$$x_1 = x$$

$$x_2 = \dot{x}$$

This allows to rewrite Newton's 2nd law as:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \dot{x}_1 = x_2 \end{cases}, \text{ or, in matrix form } \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1}{m} & \frac{T_2}{m} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_B \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The problem with the B matrix just defined is that A is a $n \times n$ with $n=2$ matrix, but B is a $n \times m$ with $n=2, m=2$

So when testing for controllability, we are going to have to

$$\text{Compute Rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B & \dots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

and check if $\text{Rank}(C) = n$

given the fact that $n=2$, $C = [B \mid AB]$

$$A \cdot B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1}{m} & \frac{T_2}{m} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1}{m} & \frac{T_2}{m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

C is not square, so there is no determinant for C

which prevents answering the question

"is the system controllable?"

So the state space formulation previously presented needs to be re-worked.

Clearly, the reason for B being n by m , where $n=m=2$ is

because of the input vector $\underline{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$, so we cheat,

and assume that the two separate

Control inputs u_1 and u_2 are lumped into "control mixer" \underline{u}

where \underline{u} is a scalar.

This assumption allows re-writing the B matrix of the dynamic system as $B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1 - T_2}{m} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and the newly formulated

state space representation becomes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1 - T_2}{m} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$$

$$\text{In turn, } AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1 - T_2}{m} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C = [B \mid AB] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1 - T_2}{m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{If we pose } \underline{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T_1 - T_2}{m} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \underline{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \underline{v}_2 = 0 \underline{v}_1, \text{ so the two}$$

vectors \underline{v}_1 and \underline{v}_2 are not linearly independent, so $\text{Rank}(C) = 1$

so the dynamic system is not fully controllable, so there is

no point trying to control it with an LQR approach.