

1 Wigner's theorem

Let \mathcal{H} be an arbitrary Hilbert space. We define a relation \sim on \mathcal{H} by $x \sim y$ if there's a $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c| = 1$ and $x = cy$. This is clearly an equivalence relation. For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the equivalence class that x belongs to will be denoted by $[x]$. The set of equivalence classes will be denoted by \mathcal{S} . For each $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and each $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, we define

$$a[x] = [ax] \tag{1}$$

$$[x] \cdot [y] = |\langle x, y \rangle|. \tag{2}$$

Note that the right-hand sides don't depend on the representatives x, y from the equivalence classes $[x], [y]$. We will be particularly interested in the equivalence classes $[x]$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. These classes are called the *unit rays* of \mathcal{H} . (A *ray* of \mathcal{H} is a 1-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H}). The set of unit rays of \mathcal{H} will be denoted by \mathcal{R} .

In this section, the symbols θ and η will denote automorphisms of \mathbb{C} . For all $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we will write a^θ and a^η instead of $\theta(a)$ and $\eta(a)$.

Definition 1.1 (θ -unitary). Suppose that θ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} . An operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be θ -linear if for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$U(ax + by) = a^\theta Ux + b^\theta Uy.$$

A θ -linear operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be θ -unitary if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta.$$

Let I be the identity map on \mathbb{C} . Denote the complex conjugation map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^*$ on \mathbb{C} by I^* .

Theorem 1.2 (Wigner's theorem). *If T is a permutation of \mathcal{R} such that $T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$, then there's a $\theta \in \{I, I^*\}$ and a θ -unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $Ux \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$. If $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$, then θ is uniquely determined by T , and U is unique up to multiplication by a complex number of absolute value 1.*

The proof is very long, so instead of trying to prove it all at once, we're going to state and prove a number of lemmas that lead up this result. Lemma 1.15 will be the final step.

Lemma 1.3 (Extension of T from \mathcal{R} to \mathcal{S}). *For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we define $T[x] = \|x\|T[e]$, where e is the unit vector in the direction of x . The map $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined this way has the following properties.*

(a) $T[ax] = aT[x]$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

(b) $T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

(c) For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and all $x' \in T[x]$, we have $\|x'\| = \|x\|$.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. Define $e = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ and $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$.

(a):

$$T[ax] = T[a\|x\|e] = a\|x\|T[e] = aT[\|x\|e] = aT[x]. \quad (3)$$

(b): Let $e' \in T[e]$ and $f' \in T[f]$ be arbitrary. Since

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] &= T[\|x\|e] = \|x\|T[e] = \|x\|[e'] = [\|x\|e'] \\ T[y] &= [\|y\|f'], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] \cdot T[y] &= [\|x\|e'] \cdot [\|y\|f'] = |\langle \|x\|e', \|y\|f' \rangle| \\ &= \|x\| \|y\| \underbrace{|\langle e', f' \rangle|}_{= |\langle \|x\|e, \|y\|f \rangle|} = |\langle x, y \rangle| = [x] \cdot [y]. \\ &= [e'] \cdot [f'] = T[e] \cdot T[f] = [e] \cdot [f] = |\langle e, f \rangle| \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(c): For all $x' \in T[x]$,

$$\|x'\|^2 = |\langle x', x' \rangle| = [x'] \cdot [x'] = T[x] \cdot T[x] = [x] \cdot [x] = |\langle x, x \rangle| = \|x\|^2. \quad (6)$$

□

The following lemma is the only theorem in this section that's not a part of the proof of Wigner's theorem. We're proving it because it answers a question suggested by the previous theorem.

Lemma 1.4 (The extended T is a bijection). *The $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined above is a permutation of \mathcal{S} .*

Proof. Injectivity: Let x and y be arbitrary members of \mathcal{H} such that $T[x] = T[y]$. Let $x' \in T[x]$ be arbitrary. Since $x' \in T[y]$, lemma 1.3(c) tells us that $\|x'\| = \|y\|$. Similarly, $\|y'\| = \|x\|$. Since x' and y' belong to the same equivalence class, we also have $\|x'\| = \|y'\|$. So $\|x\| = \|y'\| = \|x'\| = \|y\|$. Define $e = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ and $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$. Let $e' \in T[e]$ and $f' \in T[f]$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] = T[y] &\Rightarrow T[\|x\|e] = T[\|y\|f] \Rightarrow \|x\|T[e] = \|y\|T[f] \\ &\Rightarrow \|x\|[e'] = \|y\|[f'] \Rightarrow [\|x\|e'] = [\|y\|f']. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This result implies that there's a $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c| = 1$ and $\|x\|e = c\|x\|f$. Clearly, any such c also satisfies $e' = cf'$. So $[e'] = [f']$. This means that $T[e] = T[f]$. Since the original T is a permutation of \mathcal{R} , this implies that $[e] = [f]$. Let c be a complex number such that $e = cf$. Clearly, $\|x\|e = c\|x\|f = c\|y\|f$. So $[\|x\|e] = [\|y\|f]$. This means that $[x] = [y]$.

Surjectivity: Let $y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. Define $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$. Let $e \in \mathcal{H}$ be unit vector such that $T[e] = [f]$. We have

$$[y] = [\|y\|f] = \|y\|[f] = \|y\|T[e] = T[\|y\|e]. \quad (8)$$

□

Lemma 1.5 (Properties of any partially defined U). *Let \mathcal{D} be an arbitrary subset of \mathcal{H} . Let $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary map such that $U0 = 0$ if $0 \in \mathcal{D}$, and $Ux \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \neq 0$.*

(a) *For all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$, $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = |\langle x, y \rangle|$.*

(b) *For all $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$.*

(c) *For each $x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \neq 0$, there's a unique function $p_x : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$ and $|p_x(a)| = |a|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary.

(a): If $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, we have $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = 0 = |\langle x, y \rangle|$. If $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$, we have $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y] = |\langle x, y \rangle|$.

(b): Part (a) implies that $\|Ux\|^2 = |\langle Ux, Ux \rangle| = |\langle x, x \rangle| = \|x\|^2$.

(c): Suppose that $x \neq 0$. We have $[U(ax)] = T[ax] = aT[x] = a[Ux] = [aUx]$. So there's a unique $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c| = 1$ and $U(ax) = caUx$. Define $p_x(a) = ca$.

Suppose that $q_x : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is such that $U(bx) = q_x(b)Ux$ for all $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $q_x(a)Ux = U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$, and therefore $(q_x(a) - p_x(a))Ux = 0$. If $q_x(a) \neq p_x(a)$, we can multiply this by $1/(q_x(a) - p_x(a))$ to get $Ux = 0$. This contradicts part (b) or the assumption that $x \neq 0$. So $q_x(a) = p_x(a)$. Since a is an arbitrary member of \mathbb{C} , this implies that $q_x = p_x$. □

Lemma 1.6 (Linear combinations of orthonormal vectors). *Let $\langle e_k \rangle_{k=1}^n$ be an arbitrary orthonormal finite sequence in \mathcal{H} . Define $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For each $k \in I$, let $e'_k \in T[e_k]$ be arbitrary. If $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$, then for each $x' \in T[x]$, there are complex numbers $a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$ and $|a'_k| = |a_k|$ for all $k \in I$.*

Proof. For all $i, j \in I$, we have

$$|\langle e'_i, e'_j \rangle| = [e'_i] \cdot [e'_j] = T[e_i] \cdot T[e_j] = [e_i] \cdot [e_j] = |\langle e_i, e_j \rangle| = \delta_{ij}.$$

This implies that $\langle e'_i, e'_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$. Note that for all $k \in I$, we have $a_k = \langle e_k, x \rangle$. This follows from $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$ and the fact that $\{e_k\}_{k \in I}$ is an orthonormal set. We're going to define a'_k for each $k \in I$. Since $\{e'_k\}_{k \in I}$ is an orthonormal set and $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$, there's only one definition that can possibly work: For each $k \in I$, we define $a'_k = \langle e'_k, x' \rangle$. For all $k \in I$, we have

$$|a'_k| = |\langle e'_k, x' \rangle| = [e'_k] \cdot [x'] = T[e_k] \cdot T[x] = [e_k] \cdot [x] = |\langle e_k, x \rangle| = |a_k|. \quad (9)$$

We will prove that $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$. First note that

$$\left\| x' - \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 = \|x'\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle x', \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\rangle + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 \quad (10)$$

Since $\langle a'_k e'_k \rangle_{k=1}^n$ is an orthogonal finite sequence in \mathcal{H} , the Pythagorean theorem tells us that the third term is equal to $\sum_{k=1}^n \|a'_k e'_k\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2$. To evaluate the second term, we note that

$$\left\langle x', \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k \langle x', e'_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (11)$$

These results imply that

$$\left\| x' - \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 = \|x'\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 = \left\| x - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k \right\|^2 = 0. \quad (12)$$

□

Let e be an arbitrary unit vector in \mathcal{H} . e will denote the same vector until the end of the section.

Definition 1.7 (Definition of Ux for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\langle e, x \rangle \in \{0, 1\}$). We will define Ux for all x in the subset $\{e + y \mid y \perp e\}$, and then define Ux for all x in the Hilbert subspace $\{e\}^\perp$.

Let $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ be arbitrary. Define f by $f = y/\|y\|$. Let $e' \in T[e]$ and $f' \in T[f]$ be arbitrary. Lemma 1.6 tells us that since $\{e, f\}$ is an orthonormal set and $e + y = e + \|y\|f$, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $ae' + bf' \in T[e + y]$, and $|a| = 1$, $|b| = \|y\|$. Since $T[e + y]$ is an equivalence class whose members

differ only by complex factors of absolute value 1, this means that there's a unique member of $T[e + y]$ that can be expressed as $e' + bf'$, where $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Let b be the unique member of \mathbb{C} such that $e' + bf' \in T[e + y]$. Define $U(e + y) = e' + bf'$. Since y is an arbitrary member of $\{e\}^\perp$, this defines Ux for all x in $\{e + y | y \perp e\}$. Since $|b| = \|y\|$, the definition implies that $Ue = e'$.

For each $y \in \{e\}^\perp$, we define $Uy = U(e + y) - Ue$. This defines Ux for all x in $\{e\}^\perp$. So Ux is now defined for all x in $\{x \in \mathcal{H} | \langle e, x \rangle \in \{0, 1\}\}$. This set will be denoted by \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 1.8 (Useful facts about complex numbers). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary.*

(a) *If $\operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re} b$ and $|a| = |b|$, then $b = a$ or $b = a^*$.*

(b) *If $\operatorname{Re}(ab^*) = \operatorname{Re}(ab)$, then $(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = 0$.*

(c) *If $\operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^2b)$ and $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$, then $(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} b)$.*

Proof. Let (p, q, r, s) be the unique 4-tuple of real numbers such that $a = p + iq$ and $b = r + is$.

(a): By assumption, $p = r$ and $|a| = |b|$. So

$$p^2 + q^2 = |a|^2 = |b|^2 = r^2 + s^2 = p^2 + s^2.$$

This implies that $s = \pm q$. So either $b = r + is = p + iq$, or $b = r + is = p - iq$.

(b): Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(ab) &= \operatorname{Re}((p + iq)(r + is)) = pr - qs, \\ \operatorname{Re}(ab^*) &= \operatorname{Re}((p + iq)(r - is)^*) = pr + qs, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

the assumption implies that that $0 = qs = (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)$.

(c): Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) &= \operatorname{Re}((p^2 + q^2)(r + is)) = (p^2 + q^2)r \\ \operatorname{Re}(a^2b) &= \operatorname{Re}((p^2 - q^2 + 2ipq)(r + is)) = (p^2 - q^2)r - 2pqs \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

the assumption that $\operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^2b)$ implies that

$$-2pqs = (p^2 + q^2)r - (p^2 - q^2)r = 2q^2r. \tag{15}$$

Since $q = \operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$, we can cancel q from this equality. So $(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = ps = -qr = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} b)$. \square

Let $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the map defined by definition 1.7.

Lemma 1.9 (Properties of U). (a) $U0=0$

(b) For all $x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \neq 0$, $Ux \in T[x]$.

(c) For all $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$, $\operatorname{Re}\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle$.

(d) For all $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$, $|\langle Uy, Uz \rangle| = |\langle y, z \rangle|$.

(e) For all $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$, we have $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle$ or $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^*$.

Note that part (e) implies that when $\langle y, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle$.

Proof. Let $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary.

(a): $U0 = U(e + 0) - U(e) = 0$.

(b): $U(e + y)$ is by definition a member of $T[e + y]$. We will prove that if $y \neq 0$, then $Uy \in T[y]$. Suppose that $y \neq 0$. Define $f = y/\|y\|$. We saw in definition 1.7 that there's an $f' \in T[f]$ and a $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|b| = \|y\|$ and $Uy = bf'$. So

$$[Uy] = [bf'] = b[f'] = bT[f] = T[bf] = T[\|y\|f] = T[y]. \quad (16)$$

(c): Lemma 1.5 tells us that $|\langle U(e + y), U(e + z) \rangle| = |\langle e + y, e + z \rangle|$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle U(e + y), U(e + z) \rangle| &= |\langle Ue + Uy, Ue + Uz \rangle| \\ &= |\|Ue\|^2 + \langle Ue, Uz \rangle + \langle Ue, Uy \rangle + \langle Uy, Uz \rangle| \\ &= |1 + \langle Uy, Uz \rangle|^2 = 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Uy, Uz \rangle + |\langle Uy, Uz \rangle|^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle + |\langle y, z \rangle|^2, \\ |\langle e + y, e + z \rangle| &= |\|e\|^2 + \langle e, z \rangle + \langle e, y \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle| = |1 + \langle y, z \rangle|^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle + |\langle y, z \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

(d): This follows from parts (a) and (b), and lemma 1.5(a).

(e): This follows from parts (c) and (d), and lemma 1.8(a). \square

For each $x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $x \neq 0$, let p_x be the unique function such that $U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 1.10 (p_f and p_g when $\{e, f, g\}$ is an orthonormal set). *For all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $f, g \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$, we have $U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary. If $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, then we clearly have $U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug$. (If $a = b = 0$, the equality follows the

result $U0 = 0$. If only one of a and b is zero, the equality follows from the definitions of p_f and p_g .

Suppose that $a, b \neq 0$. Define $x = af + bg$. Lemma 1.6 tells us that since $\{f, g\}$ is an orthonormal set, there exist $a', b' \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Ux = a'Uf + b'Ug$, and $|a'| = 1$, $|b'| = 1$. We will prove that $a' = p_f(a)$ and $b' = p_g(b)$. First note that since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, f \rangle &= \|f\|^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \langle x, af \rangle &= \langle af + bg, af \rangle = \langle af, af \rangle = |a|^2 \in \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

we have $\langle Uf, Uf \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$ and $\langle Ux, U(af) \rangle = \langle x, af \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Ux, U(af) \rangle &= \langle x, af \rangle = \langle af + bg, af \rangle = \langle af, af \rangle = |a|^2 = |a'|^2, \\ \langle Ux, U(af) \rangle &= p_f(a)\langle Ux, Uf \rangle = p_f(a)\langle a'Uf + b'Ug, Uf \rangle \\ &= p_f(a)a'^*\langle Uf, Uf \rangle = p_f(a)a'^*\langle f, f \rangle = p_f(a)a'^*.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

So $a'^*a' = |a'|^2 = p_f(a)a'^*$. Since $|a'| = |a|$, the assumption that $a \neq 0$ implies that we can cancel a'^* from this equality to get $p_f(a) = a'$. A similar argument shows that $p_g(b) = b'$. So

$$U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug.\tag{20}$$

□

Lemma 1.11 (All the p_y such that $y \perp e$ are the same). *There's a $\theta \in \{I, I^*\}$ such that $p_y = \theta$ for all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $y \neq 0$.*

Proof. We will prove the theorem by proving the following statements:

- (a) For all $f \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $\|f\| = 1$, and all $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $p_y(a) \in \{a, a^*\}$.
- (b) For all $f \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $\|f\| = 1$, $p_f \in \{I, I^*\}$.
- (c) For all $f, g \in \{e^\perp\}$ such that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$, $p_f = p_g$.
- (d) For all $f, g \in \{e^\perp\}$ such that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$, $p_f = p_g$.
- (e) For all $y \in \{e\}^\perp - \{0\}$, we have $p_y = p_f$, where f is defined by $f = y/\|y\|$.

These results imply that p_y is the same function for all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $y \neq 0$, and that this function is either I or I^* . If this function is denoted by θ , we have $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$.

(a): Lemma 1.9(e) tells us that $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = \langle f, af \rangle = a$ or $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = \langle f, af \rangle^* = a^*$. But we also have $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = p_f(a)\langle Uf, Uf \rangle = p_f(a)$.

(That last equality follows from lemma 1.9(d), because $\langle f, f \rangle = \|f\|^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$).

(b): Define $A_f = \{a \in \mathbb{C} | p_f(a) = a\}$ and $B_f = \{a \in \mathbb{C} | p_f(a) = a^*\}$. We will prove that one of these sets is equal to \mathbb{C} . Note that $A_f \cap B_f = \mathbb{R}$. Let $a \in A_f$ and $b \in B_f$ be arbitrary. 1.9(c) tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(bf) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle af, bf \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b), \\ \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(bf) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}(p_f(a)^* p_f(b) \langle Uf, Uf \rangle) = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b^*). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

So $\operatorname{Re}(a^*b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b^*)$. Lemma 1.8(b) tells us that this implies that $(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = 0$. So one of a and b is real. If a is real, then $a \in \mathbb{R} = A_f \cap B_f \subset B_f$. Since a is an arbitrary member of A_f , this implies that $A_f \subset B_f$. Similarly, if b is real, then $B_f \subset A_f$. So one of these sets is a subset of the other. Since their union is \mathbb{C} , this implies that the larger of the two sets is $= \mathbb{C}$. If $A_f = \mathbb{C}$, then $p_f = I$. If $B_f = \mathbb{C}$, then $p_f = I^*$.

(c): Since $0 = U0 = U(0f) = p_f(0)Uf$, and $\|Uf\| = \|f\| = 1$, we have $p_f(0) = 0$. Similarly, $p_g(0) = 0$. So $p_f(0) = p_g(0)$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ be arbitrary. Lemma 1.10 (applied to the linear combinations $f + g$ and $af + ag$) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} U(a(f + g)) &= p_{f+g}(a)U(f + g) = p_{f+g}(a)Uf + p_{f+g}(a)Ug, \\ U(a(f + g)) &= U(af + ag) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(a)Ug. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This implies that

$$(p_{f+g}(a) - p_f(a))Uf + (p_{f+g}(a) - p_g(a))Ug = 0. \quad (23)$$

Uf and Ug are orthogonal (lemma 1.9), and therefore linearly independent. So this implies that $p_f(a) = p_{f+g}(a) = p_g(a)$. Since a is an arbitrary non-zero complex number, and we have already proved that $p_f(0) = p_g(0)$, this implies that $p_f = p_g$.

(d): Suppose that $p_f \neq p_g$. Then either $p_f = I$ and $p_g = I^*$, or $p_f = I^*$ and $p_g = I$. Suppose that $p_f = I^*$ and $p_g = I$. (The other possibility can be dealt with by swapping f for g and g for f in the argument we're about to make). Let a be an arbitrary complex number such that $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(ag) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle af, ag \rangle = |a|^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle f, g \rangle = |a|^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(|a|^2 \langle Uf, Ug \rangle), \\ \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(ag) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle p_f(a)Uf, p_g(a)Ug \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(a^2 \langle Uf, Ug \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$, lemma 1.8(c) tells us that this implies that

$$(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} \langle Uf, Ug \rangle) = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} \langle Uf, Ug \rangle). \quad (25)$$

We have proved that this equality holds for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Im } a \neq 0$. If we can prove that this result is actually false, this will disprove the assumption that $p_f \neq p_g$. It's sufficient to prove that there's an $a \in \mathbb{C}$ that doesn't satisfy (25) and has a non-zero imaginary part.

Lemma 1.9(d) and the assumption that $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$ imply that $\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$. If $\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$, then (25) implies that

$$\text{Im } a = -\frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle} \text{Re } a. \quad (26)$$

So (25) is false for all a that don't satisfy this condition, for example $1 - it$, where t is any real number such that $t \neq \frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}$ and $t \neq 0$. If $\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$, then (25) implies that

$$\text{Re } a = -\frac{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle} \text{Im } a. \quad (27)$$

So (25) is false for all a that don't satisfy this condition, for example $t - i$, where t is any real number such that $t \neq \frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}$. So for all possible values of $\langle Uf, Ug \rangle$, there's an $a \in \mathbb{C}$ that doesn't satisfy (25) and has a non-zero imaginary part. This contradicts the assumption $p_f \neq p_g$, so $p_f = p_g$.

(e): We will prove that $p_f(a) = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$. If $p_f = I$, then $p_f(a\|y\|) = a\|y\| = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$. If $p_f = I^*$, then $p_f(a\|y\|) = a^*\|y\| = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$.

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay) &= p_y(a)Uy, \\ U(ay) &= U(a\|y\|f) = p_f(a\|y\|)Uf = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)Uf \\ &= p_f(a)U(\|y\|f) = p_f(a)Uy. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

This implies that $(p_y(a) - p_f(a))Uy = 0$. If $p_y \neq p_f$, we can multiply this by $1/(p_y(a) - p_f(a))$ to get $Uy = 0$. This contradicts the result $\|Uy\| = \|y\|$ (which is implied by lemma 1.8(c)) or the assumption that $y \neq 0$. So $p_y(a) = p_f(a)$. Since a is an arbitrary complex number, this implies that $p_y = p_f$. \square

Let θ be the unique member of $\{I, I^*\}$ such that $p_y = \theta$ for all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ such that $y \neq 0$.

Lemma 1.12 (U is θ -linear and θ -unitary on $\{e\}^\perp$). (a) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$, $U(ay + bz) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz$.

(b) For all $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$, $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary. Let $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ be arbitrary. If $y = 0$ or $z = 0$, we clearly have $U(ay + bz) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz$. So suppose that $y, z \neq 0$.

These two vectors span a subspace of $\{e\}^\perp$ that's either 1-dimensional or 2-dimensional. Suppose that it's 1-dimensional. Define f by $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$. We have $y = \|y\|f$, and $z = \|z\|f$ or $z = -\|z\|f$. We will use the \pm notation to deal with both cases at once.

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay + bz) &= U((a\|y\| \pm b\|z\|)f) = (a\|y\| \pm b\|z\|)^\theta Uf \\ &= a^\theta \|y\| Uf \pm b^\theta \|z\| Uf = a^\theta U(\|y\|f) + b^\theta U(\pm\|z\|f) \\ &= a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since $\langle y, z \rangle = \langle \|y\|f, \pm\|z\|f \rangle = \pm\|y\| \|z\| \in \mathbb{R}$, lemma 1.9(e) tells us that $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $r^\theta = r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, this implies that $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta$.

Now suppose that the subspace spanned by $\{y, z\}$ is 2-dimensional. Define f by $f = \frac{f}{\|f\|}$, and let g be an arbitrary member of $\{e\}^\perp$ such that $\{f, g\}$ is an orthonormal basis for that subspace. Let (c, d) be the unique pair of complex numbers such that $z = cf + dg$. Lemma 1.10 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay + bz) &= U(a\|y\|f + b(cf + dg)) = U((a\|y\| + bc)f + dg) \\ &= (a\|y\| + bc)^\theta Uf + (bd)^\theta Ug = a^\theta \|y\| Uf + b^\theta (c^\theta Uf + d^\theta Ug) \\ &= a^\theta U(\|y\|f) + b^\theta U(cf + dg) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Since $\langle y, z \rangle = \langle \|y\|f, cf + dg \rangle = \|y\|c$, lemma 1.10 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Uy, Uz \rangle &= \langle U(\|y\|f), U(cf + dg) \rangle = \langle \|y\|Uf, c^\theta Uf + d^\theta Ug \rangle \\ &= \|y\|c^\theta = (\|y\|c)^\theta = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

□

Definition 1.13 (Extension of U to all of \mathcal{H}). Let $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the map defined by definition 1.7. Let θ be the unique member of $\{I, I^*\}$ such that $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$. For each $x \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{D}$, define Ux by

$$Ux = \langle e, x \rangle^\theta U\left(\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle}\right). \tag{32}$$

Lemma 1.14 (U is θ -linear and θ -unitary). Let $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the map defined by definition 1.13. Let θ be the unique member of $\{I, I^*\}$ such that $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $y \in \{e\}^\perp$.

(a) For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \neq 0$, $Ux \in T[x]$.

(b) For all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $U(ax) = a^\theta Ux$.

(c) For all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, $U(x + y) = Ux + Uy$.

(d) For all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta$.

Proof. (a): Lemma 1.9 tells us that $Ux \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Definition 1.13 and lemma 1.3(a) tell us that for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [Ux] &= \left[\langle e, x \rangle^\theta U \left(\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) \right] = \langle e, x \rangle^\theta \left[U \left(\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) \right] \\ &= \langle e, x \rangle^\theta T \left[\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right] = T \left[\frac{\langle e, x \rangle^\theta}{\langle e, x \rangle} x \right] = T[x]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

(b): Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. We will prove that $U(ax) = a^\theta Ux$. If $a = 0$ or $x \in \{e\}^\perp$, we have already done that. So suppose that $a \neq 0$ and $x \notin \{e\}^\perp$. The latter assumption implies that $\langle e, x \rangle \neq 0$. Since $\langle e, ax \rangle = a \langle e, x \rangle$ and $a \neq 0$, this implies that $\langle e, ax \rangle \neq 0$. So

$$U(ax) = \langle e, ax \rangle^\theta U \left(\frac{ax}{\langle e, ax \rangle} \right) = a^\theta \langle e, x \rangle^\theta U \left(\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) = a^\theta Ux. \quad (34)$$

(c) and (d): Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary. The projection theorem tells us that there's a unique pair (a, b) of complex numbers and a unique pair (x_\perp, y_\perp) of vectors in $\{e\}^\perp$, such that $x = ae + x_\perp$ and $y = be + y_\perp$. Define f and g respectively by $f = \frac{f}{\|f\|}$ and $g = \frac{g}{\|g\|}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ae + \|x_\perp\|f, \\ y &= be + \|y_\perp\|g. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Recall that for all $z \in \{e\}^\perp$, Uz is defined by $Uz = U(e + z) - Ue$. So for all $z \in \{e\}^\perp$, $U(e + z) = Ue + Uz$. This result, part (a), lemma 1.10 and lemma 1.12 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} U(x + y) &= U((a + b)e + \|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g) = U \left((a + b) \left(e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) \right) \\ &= (a + b)^\theta U \left(e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) = (a + b)^\theta \left(Ue + U \left(\frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) \right) \\ &= (a + b)^\theta Ue + U(\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g) = a^\theta Ue + b^\theta Ue + \|x_\perp\|Uf + \|y_\perp\|Ug \\ &= a^\theta \left(Ue + \frac{\|x_\perp\|}{a^\theta} Uf \right) + b^\theta \left(Ue + \frac{\|y_\perp\|}{b^\theta} Ug \right) \\ &= a^\theta U \left(e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|}{a^\theta} f \right) + b^\theta U \left(e + \frac{\|y_\perp\|}{b^\theta} g \right) \\ &= U(e + \|x_\perp\|f) + U(e + \|y_\perp\|g) = Ux + Uy. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We have proved (c). To prove (d), first note that $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle ae + \|x_\perp\|f, be + \|y_\perp\|g \rangle = a^*b$, and that lemma 1.5 implies that $\{Ue, Uf, Ug\}$ is an orthonormal set. These results and part (c) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ux, Uy \rangle &= \langle U(ae + \|x_\perp\|f), U(be + \|y_\perp\|g) \rangle \\ &= \langle a^\theta Ue + \|x_\perp\|Uf, b^\theta Ue + \|y_\perp\|Ug \rangle \\ &= (a^*b)^\theta = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

□

Lemma 1.15 (U is unique up to a phase factor). *Let $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the map defined by definition 1.13. Let θ be the unique member of $\{I, I^*\}$ such that U is θ -unitary. Let $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\eta \in \{I, I^*\}$ be arbitrary.*

(a) *If $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$, $\eta \in \{I, I^*\}$, V is η -unitary, and $Vx \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$, then $\eta = \theta$ and there's a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$ and $V = \lambda U$.*

(b) *For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| = 1$, λU is θ -unitary and $(\lambda U)x \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$.*

Proof. (a): The assumption that V is η -unitary implies that $V0 = V(0 \cdot 0) = 0^\eta V(0) = 0$. Since we also assumed that $Vx \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \neq 0$, V satisfies the assumptions of lemma 1.5.

We will prove that V is injective. Let x and y be arbitrary vectors in \mathcal{H} such that $Vx = Vy$. We have $V(x - y) = 0$, and therefore

$$0 = \langle V(x - y), V(x - y) \rangle = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle^\eta = \|x - y\|^2. \tag{38}$$

This implies that $x = y$. So V is injective.

Let $\{x, y\}$ be an arbitrary linearly independent set in \mathcal{H} . We will prove that $\{Vx, Vy\}$ is linearly independent. Suppose that a and b are complex numbers such that $aVx + bVy = 0$. Then $V(a^\eta x + b^\eta y) = 0$. Since V is injective, this implies that $a^\eta x + b^\eta y = 0$. Since $\{x, y\}$ is linearly independent, this implies that $a = b = 0$. So $\{Vx, Vy\}$ is linearly independent.

For all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$, we have $[Vx] = T[x] = [Ux]$. This implies that there exists a function $c : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $|c(x)| = 1$ and $Vx = c(x)Ux$. We will prove that c is a constant function.

$$\begin{aligned} V(x + y) &= c(x + y)Ux + Uy = c(x + y)Ux + c(x + y)Uy, \\ V(x + y) &= Vx + Vy = c(x)Ux + c(y)Uy. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This implies that $(c(x + y) - c(x))Ux + (c(x + y) - c(y))Uy$. Since $\{Ux, Uy\}$ is linearly independent, this implies that $c(x) = c(x + y) = c(y)$. Since the right-hand side is independent of x , c must be a constant function.

We will prove that $\eta = \theta$ by deriving a contradiction from the assumption that this is false. So suppose that $\eta \neq \theta$. This means that either $\theta = I$ and $\eta = I^*$ or $\theta = I^*$ and $\eta = I$. Suppose that $\theta = I$ and $\eta = I^*$. (The other possibility can be dealt with by making a few obvious changes in the argument we're about to make). Let $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ be arbitrary.

$$V(ix) = c(ix)U(ix) = c(ix)iUx, \quad (40)$$

$$V(ix) = i^*Vx = -ic(x)Ux. \quad (41)$$

Since $\|Ux\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \neq 0$, $Ux \neq 0$. So the above implies that for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$, $c(ix) = -c(x)$. Since c is a constant function, this implies that $c(x) = 0$. So $Vx = c(x)Ux = 0$. This implies that $0 = \|Vx\|^2 = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle^\eta = \|x\|^2 \neq 0$, which is obviously false.

(b): Define $V = \lambda U$. For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \neq 0$,

$$[Vx] = [(\lambda U)x] = [\lambda(Ux)] = \lambda[Ux] = \lambda T[x] = T[\lambda x] = T[x]. \quad (42)$$

The last equality follows from the assumption that $|\lambda| = 1$. This result implies that $Vx \in T[x]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$.

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(ax + by) &= \lambda U(ax + by) = \lambda(a^\theta Ux + b^\theta Uy) = a^\theta Vx + b^\theta Vy, \\ \langle Vx, Vy \rangle &= \langle \lambda Ux, \lambda Uy \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

□