

# 1 Wigner's theorem

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an arbitrary Hilbert space. We define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $x \sim y$  if there's a  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|c| = 1$  and  $x = cy$ . This is clearly an equivalence relation. For each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , the equivalence class that  $x$  belongs to will be denoted by  $[x]$ . The set of equivalence classes will be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . For each  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and each  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define

$$a[x] = [ax] \tag{1}$$

$$[x] \cdot [y] = |\langle x, y \rangle|. \tag{2}$$

Note that the right-hand sides don't depend on the representatives  $x, y$  from the equivalence classes  $[x], [y]$ . We will be particularly interested in the equivalence classes  $[x]$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$ . These classes are called the *unit rays* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . (A *ray* of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ ). The set of unit rays of  $\mathcal{H}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In this section, the symbols  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  will denote automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we will write  $a^\theta$  and  $a^\eta$  instead of  $\theta(a)$  and  $\eta(a)$ .

**Definition 1.1** ( $\theta$ -unitary). Suppose that  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ . An operator  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\theta$ -linear if for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$U(ax + by) = a^\theta Ux + b^\theta Uy.$$

A  $\theta$ -linear operator  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\theta$ -unitary if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta.$$

Let  $I$  be the identity map on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote the complex conjugation map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^*$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $I^*$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Wigner's theorem). *If  $T$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ , then there's a  $\theta \in \{I, I^*\}$  and a  $\theta$ -unitary  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $Ux \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ . If  $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$ , then  $\theta$  is uniquely determined by  $T$ , and  $U$  is unique up to multiplication by a complex number of absolute value 1.*

The proof is very long, so instead of trying to prove it all at once, we're going to state and prove a number of lemmas that lead up this result. Lemma 1.15 will be the final step.

**Lemma 1.3** (Extension of  $T$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ ). *For each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define  $T[x] = \|x\|T[e]$ , where  $e$  is the unit vector in the direction of  $x$ . The map  $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  defined this way has the following properties.*

(a)  $T[ax] = aT[x]$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(b)  $T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(c) For all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , and all  $x' \in T[x]$ , we have  $\|x'\| = \|x\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. Define  $e = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and  $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ .

(a):

$$T[ax] = T[a\|x\|e] = a\|x\|T[e] = aT[\|x\|e] = aT[x]. \quad (3)$$

(b): Let  $e' \in T[e]$  and  $f' \in T[f]$  be arbitrary. Since

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] &= T[\|x\|e] = \|x\|T[e] = \|x\|[e'] = [\|x\|e'] \\ T[y] &= [\|y\|f'], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] \cdot T[y] &= [\|x\|e'] \cdot [\|y\|f'] = |\langle \|x\|e', \|y\|f' \rangle| \\ &= \|x\| \|y\| \underbrace{|\langle e', f' \rangle|}_{= |\langle \|x\|e, \|y\|f \rangle|} = |\langle x, y \rangle| = [x] \cdot [y]. \\ &= [e'] \cdot [f'] = T[e] \cdot T[f] = [e] \cdot [f] = |\langle e, f \rangle| \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(c): For all  $x' \in T[x]$ ,

$$\|x'\|^2 = |\langle x', x' \rangle| = [x'] \cdot [x'] = T[x] \cdot T[x] = [x] \cdot [x] = |\langle x, x \rangle| = \|x\|^2. \quad (6)$$

□

The following lemma is the only theorem in this section that's not a part of the proof of Wigner's theorem. We're proving it because it answers a question suggested by the previous theorem.

**Lemma 1.4** (The extended  $T$  is a bijection). *The  $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  defined above is a permutation of  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Injectivity: Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary members of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T[x] = T[y]$ . Let  $x' \in T[x]$  be arbitrary. Since  $x' \in T[y]$ , lemma 1.3(c) tells us that  $\|x'\| = \|y\|$ . Similarly,  $\|y'\| = \|x\|$ . Since  $x'$  and  $y'$  belong to the same equivalence class, we also have  $\|x'\| = \|y'\|$ . So  $\|x\| = \|y'\| = \|x'\| = \|y\|$ . Define  $e = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and  $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ . Let  $e' \in T[e]$  and  $f' \in T[f]$  be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} T[x] = T[y] &\Rightarrow T[\|x\|e] = T[\|y\|f] \Rightarrow \|x\|T[e] = \|x\|T[f] \\ &\Rightarrow \|x\|[e'] = \|x\|[f'] \Rightarrow [\|x\|e'] = [\|x\|f']. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This result implies that there's a  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|c| = 1$  and  $\|x\|e = c\|x\|f$ . Clearly, any such  $c$  also satisfies  $e' = cf'$ . So  $[e'] = [f']$ . This means that  $T[e] = T[f]$ . Since the original  $T$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{R}$ , this implies that  $[e] = [f]$ . Let  $c$  be a complex number such that  $e = cf$ . Clearly,  $\|x\|e = c\|x\|f = c\|y\|f$ . So  $[\|x\|e] = [\|y\|f]$ . This means that  $[x] = [y]$ .

Surjectivity: Let  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. Define  $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ . Let  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  be unit vector such that  $T[e] = [f]$ . We have

$$[y] = [\|y\|f] = \|y\| [f] = \|y\| T[e] = T[\|y\|e]. \quad (8)$$

□

**Lemma 1.5** (Properties of any partially defined  $U$ ). *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be an arbitrary map such that  $U0 = 0$  if  $0 \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $Ux \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ .*

(a) *For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = |\langle x, y \rangle|$ .*

(b) *For all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\|Ux\| = \|x\|$ .*

(c) *For each  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ , there's a unique function  $p_x : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$  and  $|p_x(a)| = |a|$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary.

(a): If  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ , we have  $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = 0 = |\langle x, y \rangle|$ . If  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ , we have  $|\langle Ux, Uy \rangle| = T[x] \cdot T[y] = [x] \cdot [y] = |\langle x, y \rangle|$ .

(b): Part (a) implies that  $\|Ux\|^2 = |\langle Ux, Ux \rangle| = |\langle x, x \rangle| = \|x\|^2$ .

(c): Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ . We have  $[U(ax)] = T[ax] = aT[x] = a[Ux] = [aUx]$ . So there's a unique  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|c| = 1$  and  $U(ax) = caUx$ . Define  $p_x(a) = ca$ .

Suppose that  $q_x : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $U(bx) = q_x(b)Ux$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $q_x(a)Ux = U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$ , and therefore  $(q_x(a) - p_x(a))Ux = 0$ . If  $q_x(a) \neq p_x(a)$ , we can multiply this by  $1/(q_x(a) - p_x(a))$  to get  $Ux = 0$ . This contradicts part (b) or the assumption that  $x \neq 0$ . So  $q_x(a) = p_x(a)$ . Since  $a$  is an arbitrary member of  $\mathbb{C}$ , this implies that  $q_x = p_x$ . □

**Lemma 1.6** (Linear combinations of orthonormal vectors). *Let  $\langle e_k \rangle_{k=1}^n$  be an arbitrary orthonormal finite sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For each  $k \in I$ , let  $e'_k \in T[e_k]$  be arbitrary. If  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$ , then for each  $x' \in T[x]$ , there are complex numbers  $a'_1, \dots, a'_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$  and  $|a'_k| = |a_k|$  for all  $k \in I$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $i, j \in I$ , we have

$$|\langle e'_i, e'_j \rangle| = [e'_i] \cdot [e'_j] = T[e_i] \cdot T[e_j] = [e_i] \cdot [e_j] = |\langle e_i, e_j \rangle| = \delta_{ij}.$$

This implies that  $\langle e'_i, e'_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in I$ . Note that for all  $k \in I$ , we have  $a_k = \langle e_k, x \rangle$ . This follows from  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$  and the fact that  $\{e_k\}_{k \in I}$  is an orthonormal set. We're going to define  $a'_k$  for each  $k \in I$ . Since  $\{e'_k\}_{k \in I}$  is an orthonormal set and  $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$ , there's only one definition that can possibly work: For each  $k \in I$ , we define  $a'_k = \langle e'_k, x' \rangle$ . For all  $k \in I$ , we have

$$|a'_k| = |\langle e'_k, x' \rangle| = [e'_k] \cdot [x'] = T[e_k] \cdot T[x] = [e_k] \cdot [x] = |\langle e_k, x \rangle| = |a_k|. \quad (9)$$

We will prove that  $x' = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k$ . First note that

$$\left\| x' - \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 = \|x'\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle x', \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\rangle + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 \quad (10)$$

Since  $\langle a'_k e'_k \rangle_{k=1}^n$  is an orthogonal finite sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ , the Pythagorean theorem tells us that the third term is equal to  $\sum_{k=1}^n \|a'_k e'_k\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2$ . To evaluate the second term, we note that

$$\left\langle x', \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k \langle x', e'_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (11)$$

These results imply that

$$\left\| x' - \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k e'_k \right\|^2 = \|x'\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |a'_k|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 = \left\| x - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k \right\|^2 = 0. \quad (12)$$

□

Let  $e$  be an arbitrary unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $e$  will denote the same vector until the end of the section.

**Definition 1.7** (Definition of  $Ux$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\langle e, x \rangle \in \{0, 1\}$ ). We will define  $Ux$  for all  $x$  in the subset  $\{e + y | y \perp e\}$ , and then define  $Ux$  for all  $x$  in the Hilbert subspace  $\{e\}^\perp$ .

Let  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$  be arbitrary. Define  $f$  by  $f = y/\|y\|$ . Let  $e' \in T[e]$  and  $f' \in T[f]$  be arbitrary. Lemma 1.6 tells us that since  $\{e, f\}$  is an orthonormal set and  $e + y = e + \|y\|f$ , there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $ae' + bf' \in T[e + y]$ , and  $|a| = 1$ ,  $|b| = \|y\|$ . Since  $T[e + y]$  is an equivalence class whose members

differ only by complex factors of absolute value 1, this means that there's a unique member of  $T[e + y]$  that can be expressed as  $e' + bf'$ , where  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $b$  be the unique member of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $e' + bf' \in T[e + y]$ . Define  $U(e + y) = e' + bf'$ . Since  $y$  is an arbitrary member of  $\{e\}^\perp$ , this defines  $Ux$  for all  $x$  in  $\{e + y | y \perp e\}$ . Since  $|b| = \|y\|$ , the definition implies that  $Ue = e'$ .

For each  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ , we define  $Uy = U(e + y) - Ue$ . This defines  $Ux$  for all  $x$  in  $\{e\}^\perp$ . So  $Ux$  is now defined for all  $x$  in  $\{x \in \mathcal{H} | \langle e, x \rangle \in \{0, 1\}\}$ . This set will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Lemma 1.8** (Useful facts about complex numbers). *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary.*

(a) *If  $\operatorname{Re} a = \operatorname{Re} b$  and  $|a| = |b|$ , then  $b = a$  or  $b = a^*$ .*

(b) *If  $\operatorname{Re}(ab^*) = \operatorname{Re}(ab)$ , then  $(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = 0$ .*

(c) *If  $\operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^2b)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$ , then  $(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} b)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(p, q, r, s)$  be the unique 4-tuple of real numbers such that  $a = p + iq$  and  $b = r + is$ .

(a): By assumption,  $p = r$  and  $|a| = |b|$ . So

$$p^2 + q^2 = |a|^2 = |b|^2 = r^2 + s^2 = p^2 + s^2.$$

This implies that  $s = \pm q$ . So either  $b = r + is = p + iq$ , or  $b = r + is = p - iq$ .

(b): Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(ab) &= \operatorname{Re}((p + iq)(r + is)) = pr - qs, \\ \operatorname{Re}(ab^*) &= \operatorname{Re}((p + iq)(r - is)^*) = pr + qs, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

the assumption implies that that  $0 = qs = (\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b)$ .

(c): Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) &= \operatorname{Re}((p^2 + q^2)(r + is)) = (p^2 + q^2)r \\ \operatorname{Re}(a^2b) &= \operatorname{Re}((p^2 - q^2 + 2ipq)(r + is)) = (p^2 - q^2)r - 2pq s \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

the assumption that  $\operatorname{Re}(|a|^2b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^2b)$  implies that

$$-2pq s = (p^2 + q^2)r - (p^2 - q^2)r = 2q^2r. \tag{15}$$

Since  $q = \operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$ , we can cancel  $q$  from this equality. So  $(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = ps = -qr = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} b)$ .  $\square$

Let  $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be the map defined by definition 1.7.

**Lemma 1.9** (Properties of  $U$ ). (a)  $U0=0$

(b) For all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Ux \in T[x]$ .

(c) For all  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle$ .

(d) For all  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $|\langle Uy, Uz \rangle| = |\langle y, z \rangle|$ .

(e) For all  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ , we have  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle$  or  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^*$ .

Note that part (e) implies that when  $\langle y, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary.

(a):  $U0 = U(e + 0) - U(e) = 0$ .

(b):  $U(e + y)$  is by definition a member of  $T[e + y]$ . We will prove that if  $y \neq 0$ , then  $Uy \in T[y]$ . Suppose that  $y \neq 0$ . Define  $f = y/\|y\|$ . We saw in definition 1.7 that there's an  $f' \in T[f]$  and a  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|b| = \|y\|$  and  $Uy = bf'$ . So

$$[Uy] = [bf'] = b[f'] = bT[f] = T[bf] = T[\|y\|f] = T[y]. \quad (16)$$

(c): Lemma 1.5 tells us that  $|\langle U(e + y), U(e + z) \rangle| = |\langle e + y, e + z \rangle|$ , and that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle U(e + y), U(e + z) \rangle| &= |\langle Ue + Uy, Ue + Uz \rangle| \\ &= |\|Ue\|^2 + \langle Ue, Uz \rangle + \langle Ue, Uy \rangle + \langle Uy, Uz \rangle| \\ &= |1 + \langle Uy, Uz \rangle|^2 = 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Uy, Uz \rangle + |\langle Uy, Uz \rangle|^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle + |\langle y, z \rangle|^2, \\ |\langle e + y, e + z \rangle| &= |\|e\|^2 + \langle e, z \rangle + \langle e, y \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle| = |1 + \langle y, z \rangle|^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle y, z \rangle + |\langle y, z \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

(d): This follows from parts (a) and (b), and lemma 1.5(a).

(e): This follows from parts (c) and (d), and lemma 1.8(a).  $\square$

For each  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ , let  $p_x$  be the unique function such that  $U(ax) = p_x(a)Ux$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.10** ( $p_f$  and  $p_g$  when  $\{e, f, g\}$  is an orthonormal set). *For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $f, g \in \{e^\perp\}$  such that  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ , we have  $U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary. If  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , then we clearly have  $U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug$ . (If  $a = b = 0$ , the equality follows the

result  $U0 = 0$ . If only one of  $a$  and  $b$  is zero, the equality follows from the definitions of  $p_f$  and  $p_g$ .

Suppose that  $a, b \neq 0$ . Define  $x = af + bg$ . Lemma 1.6 tells us that since  $\{f, g\}$  is an orthonormal set, there exist  $a', b' \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $Ux = a'Uf + b'Ug$ , and  $|a'| = 1$ ,  $|b'| = 1$ . We will prove that  $a' = p_f(a)$  and  $b' = p_g(b)$ . First note that since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, f \rangle &= \|f\|^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \langle x, af \rangle &= \langle af + bg, af \rangle = \langle af, af \rangle = |a|^2 \in \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

we have  $\langle Uf, Uf \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle$  and  $\langle Ux, U(af) \rangle = \langle x, af \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Ux, U(af) \rangle &= \langle x, af \rangle = \langle af + bg, af \rangle = \langle af, af \rangle = |a|^2 = |a'|^2, \\ \langle Ux, U(af) \rangle &= p_f(a) \langle Ux, Uf \rangle = p_f(a) \langle a'Uf + b'Ug, Uf \rangle \\ &= p_f(a) a'^* \langle Uf, Uf \rangle = p_f(a) a'^* \langle f, f \rangle = p_f(a) a'^*.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

So  $a'^* a' = |a'|^2 = p_f(a) a'^*$ . Since  $|a'| = |a|$ , the assumption that  $a \neq 0$  implies that we can cancel  $a'^*$  from this equality to get  $p_f(a) = a'$ . A similar argument shows that  $p_g(b) = b'$ . So

$$U(af + bg) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(b)Ug.\tag{20}$$

□

**Lemma 1.11** (All the  $p_y$  such that  $y \perp e$  are the same). *There's a  $\theta \in \{I, I^*\}$  such that  $p_y = \theta$  for all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$  such that  $y \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem by proving the following statements:

- (a) For all  $f \in \{e\}^\perp$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$ , and all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $p_y(a) \in \{a, a^*\}$ .
- (b) For all  $f \in \{e\}^\perp$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$ ,  $p_f \in \{I, I^*\}$ .
- (c) For all  $f, g \in \{e^\perp\}$  such that  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ ,  $p_f = p_g$ .
- (d) For all  $f, g \in \{e^\perp\}$  such that  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $p_f = p_g$ .
- (e) For all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp - \{0\}$ , we have  $p_y = p_f$ , where  $f$  is defined by  $f = y/\|y\|$ .

These results imply that  $p_y$  is the same function for all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$  such that  $y \neq 0$ , and that this function is either  $I$  or  $I^*$ . If this function is denoted by  $\theta$ , we have  $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ .

(a): Lemma 1.9(e) tells us that  $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = \langle f, af \rangle = a$  or  $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = \langle f, af \rangle^* = a^*$ . But we also have  $\langle Uf, U(af) \rangle = p_f(a) \langle Uf, Uf \rangle = p_f(a)$ .

(That last equality follows from lemma 1.9(d), because  $\langle f, f \rangle = \|f\| = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

(b): Define  $A_f = \{a \in \mathbb{C} | p_f(a) = a\}$  and  $B_f = \{a \in \mathbb{C} | p_f(a) = a^*\}$ . We will prove that one of these sets is equal to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $A_f \cap B_f = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $a \in A_f$  and  $b_f \in B$  be arbitrary. 1.9(c) tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(bf) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle af, bf \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b), \\ \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(bf) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}(p_f(a)^* p_f(b) \langle Uf, Uf \rangle) = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b^*). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

So  $\operatorname{Re}(a^*b) = \operatorname{Re}(a^*b^*)$ . Lemma 1.8(b) tells us that this implies that  $(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Im} b) = 0$ . So one of  $a$  and  $b$  is real. If  $a$  is real, then  $a \in \mathbb{R} = A_f \cap B_f \subset B_f$ . Since  $a$  is an arbitrary member of  $A_f$ , this implies that  $A_f \subset B_f$ . Similarly, if  $b$  is real, then  $B_f \subset A_f$ . So one of these sets is a subset of the other. Since their union is  $\mathbb{C}$ , this implies that the larger of the two sets is  $= \mathbb{C}$ . If  $A_f = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $p_f = I$ . If  $B_f = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $p_f = I^*$ .

(c): Since  $0 = U0 = U(0f) = p_f(0)Uf$ , and  $\|Uf\| = \|f\| = 1$ , we have  $p_f(0) = 0$ . Similarly,  $p_g(0) = 0$ . So  $p_f(0) = p_g(0)$ .

Let  $a \in C - \{0\}$  be arbitrary. Lemma 1.10 (applied to the linear combinations  $f + g$  and  $af + ag$ ) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} U(a(f + g)) &= p_{f+g}(a)U(f + g) = p_{f+g}(a)Uf + p_{f+g}(a)Ug, \\ U(a(f + g)) &= U(af + ag) = p_f(a)Uf + p_g(a)Ug. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This implies that

$$(p_{f+g}(a) - p_f(a))Uf + (p_{f+g}(a) - p_g(a))Ug = 0. \quad (23)$$

$Uf$  and  $Ug$  are orthogonal (lemma 1.9), and therefore linearly independent. So this implies that  $p_f(a) = p_{f+g}(a) = p_g(a)$ . Since  $a$  is an arbitrary non-zero complex number, and we have already proved that  $p_f(0) = p_g(0)$ , this implies that  $p_f = p_g$ .

(d): Suppose that  $p_f \neq p_g$ . Then either  $p_f = I$  and  $p_g = I^*$ , or  $p_f = I^*$  and  $p_g = I$ . Suppose that  $p_f = I^*$  and  $p_g = I$ . (The other possibility can be dealt with by swapping  $f$  for  $g$  and  $g$  for  $f$  in the argument we're about to make). Let  $a$  be an arbitrary complex number such that  $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(ag) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle af, ag \rangle = |a|^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle f, g \rangle = |a|^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(|a|^2 \langle Uf, Ug \rangle), \\ \operatorname{Re}\langle U(af), U(ag) \rangle &= \operatorname{Re}\langle p_f(a)Uf, p_g(a)Ug \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(a^2 \langle Uf, Ug \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since  $\operatorname{Im} a \neq 0$ , lemma 1.8(c) tells us that this implies that

$$(\operatorname{Re} a)(\operatorname{Im} \langle Uf, Ug \rangle) = -(\operatorname{Im} a)(\operatorname{Re} \langle Uf, Ug \rangle). \quad (25)$$



We have proved that this equality holds for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\text{Im } a \neq 0$ . If we can prove that this result is actually false, this will disprove the assumption that  $p_f \neq p_g$ . It's sufficient to prove that there's an  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  that doesn't satisfy (25) and has a non-zero imaginary part.

Lemma 1.9(d) and the assumption that  $\langle f, g \rangle \neq 0$  imply that  $\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$ . If  $\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$ , then (25) implies that

$$\text{Im } a = -\frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle} \text{Re } a. \quad (26)$$

So (25) is false for all  $a$  that don't satisfy this condition, for example  $1 - it$ , where  $t$  is any real number such that  $t \neq \frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}$  and  $t \neq 0$ . If  $\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle \neq 0$ , then (25) implies that

$$\text{Re } a = -\frac{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle} \text{Im } a. \quad (27)$$

So (25) is false for all  $a$  that don't satisfy this condition, for example  $t - i$ , where  $t$  is any real number such that  $t \neq \frac{\text{Im}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}{\text{Re}\langle Uf, Ug \rangle}$ . So for all possible values of  $\langle Uf, Ug \rangle$ , there's an  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  that doesn't satisfy (25) and has a non-zero imaginary part. This contradicts the assumption  $p_f \neq p_g$ , so  $p_f = p_g$ .

(e): We will prove that  $p_f(a) = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$ . If  $p_f = I$ , then  $p_f(a\|y\|) = a\|y\| = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$ . If  $p_f = I^*$ , then  $p_f(a\|y\|) = a^*\|y\| = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay) &= p_y(a)Uy, \\ U(ay) &= U(a\|y\|f) = p_f(a\|y\|)Uf = p_f(a)p_f(\|y\|)Uf \\ &= p_f(a)U(\|y\|f) = p_f(a)Uy. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

This implies that  $(p_y(a) - p_f(a))Uy = 0$ . If  $p_y \neq p_f$ , we can multiply this by  $1/(p_y(a) - p_f(a))$  to get  $Uy = 0$ . This contradicts the result  $\|Uy\| = \|y\|$  (which is implied by lemma 1.8(c)) or the assumption that  $y \neq 0$ . So  $p_y(a) = p_f(a)$ . Since  $a$  is an arbitrary complex number, this implies that  $p_y = p_f$ .  $\square$

Let  $\theta$  be the unique member of  $\{I, I^*\}$  such that  $p_y = \theta$  for all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$  such that  $y \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.12** ( $U$  is  $\theta$ -linear and  $\theta$ -unitary on  $\{e\}^\perp$ ). (a) For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $U(ay + bz) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz$ .

(b) For all  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary. Let  $y, z \in \{e\}^\perp$  be arbitrary. If  $y = 0$  or  $z = 0$ , we clearly have  $U(ay + bz) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz$ . So suppose that  $y, z \neq 0$ .

These two vectors span a subspace of  $\{e\}^\perp$  that's either 1-dimensional or 2-dimensional. Suppose that it's 1-dimensional. Define  $f$  by  $f = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ . We have  $y = \|y\|f$ , and  $z = \|z\|f$  or  $z = -\|z\|f$ . We will use the  $\pm$  notation to deal with both cases at once.

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay + bz) &= U((a\|y\| \pm b\|z\|)f) = (a\|y\| \pm b\|z\|)^\theta Uf \\ &= a^\theta \|y\| Uf \pm b^\theta \|z\| Uf = a^\theta U(\|y\|f) + b^\theta U(\pm\|z\|f) \\ &= a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since  $\langle y, z \rangle = \langle \|y\|f, \pm\|z\|f \rangle = \pm\|y\| \|z\| \in \mathbb{R}$ , lemma 1.9(e) tells us that  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $r^\theta = r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , this implies that  $\langle Uy, Uz \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta$ .

Now suppose that the subspace spanned by  $\{y, z\}$  is 2-dimensional. Define  $f$  by  $f = \frac{f}{\|f\|}$ , and let  $g$  be an arbitrary member of  $\{e\}^\perp$  such that  $\{f, g\}$  is an orthonormal basis for that subspace. Let  $(c, d)$  be the unique pair of complex numbers such that  $z = cf + dg$ . Lemma 1.10 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} U(ay + bz) &= U(a\|y\|f + b(cf + dg)) = U((a\|y\| + bc)f + dg) \\ &= (a\|y\| + bc)^\theta Uf + (bd)^\theta Ug = a^\theta \|y\| Uf + b^\theta (c^\theta Uf + d^\theta Ug) \\ &= a^\theta U(\|y\|f) + b^\theta U(cf + dg) = a^\theta Uy + b^\theta Uz. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Since  $\langle y, z \rangle = \langle \|y\|f, cf + dg \rangle = \|y\|c$ , lemma 1.10 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Uy, Uz \rangle &= \langle U(\|y\|f), U(cf + dg) \rangle = \langle \|y\|Uf, c^\theta Uf + d^\theta Ug \rangle \\ &= \|y\|c^\theta = (\|y\|c)^\theta = \langle y, z \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

□

**Definition 1.13** (Extension of  $U$  to all of  $\mathcal{H}$ ). Let  $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be the map defined by definition 1.7. Let  $\theta$  be the unique member of  $\{I, I^*\}$  such that  $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ . For each  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{D}$ , define  $Ux$  by

$$Ux = \langle e, x \rangle^\theta U\left(\frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle}\right). \tag{32}$$

**Lemma 1.14** ( $U$  is  $\theta$ -linear and  $\theta$ -unitary). Let  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be the map defined by definition 1.13. Let  $\theta$  be the unique member of  $\{I, I^*\}$  such that  $U(ay) = a^\theta Uy$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $y \in \{e\}^\perp$ .

(a) For all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Ux \in T[x]$ .

(b) For all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $U(ax) = a^\theta Ux$ .

(c) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $U(x + y) = Ux + Uy$ .

(d) For all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta$ .

*Proof.* (a): Lemma 1.9 tells us that  $Ux \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ . Definition 1.13 and lemma 1.3(a) tell us that for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [Ux] &= \left[ \langle e, x \rangle^\theta U \left( \frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) \right] = \langle e, x \rangle^\theta \left[ U \left( \frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) \right] \\ &= \langle e, x \rangle^\theta T \left[ \frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right] = T \left[ \frac{\langle e, x \rangle^\theta}{\langle e, x \rangle} x \right] = T[x]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

(b): Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. We will prove that  $U(ax) = a^\theta Ux$ . If  $a = 0$  or  $x \in \{e\}^\perp$ , we have already done that. So suppose that  $a \neq 0$  and  $x \notin \{e\}^\perp$ . The latter assumption implies that  $\langle e, x \rangle \neq 0$ . Since  $\langle e, ax \rangle = a \langle e, x \rangle$  and  $a \neq 0$ , this implies that  $\langle e, ax \rangle \neq 0$ . So

$$U(ax) = \langle e, ax \rangle^\theta U \left( \frac{ax}{\langle e, ax \rangle} \right) = a^\theta \langle e, x \rangle^\theta U \left( \frac{x}{\langle e, x \rangle} \right) = a^\theta Ux. \quad (34)$$

(c) and (d): Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be arbitrary. The projection theorem tells us that there's a unique pair  $(a, b)$  of complex numbers and a unique pair  $(x_\perp, y_\perp)$  of vectors in  $\{e\}^\perp$ , such that  $x = ae + x_\perp$  and  $y = be + y_\perp$ . Define  $f$  and  $g$  respectively by  $f = \frac{f}{\|f\|}$  and  $g = \frac{g}{\|g\|}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ae + \|x_\perp\|f, \\ y &= be + \|y_\perp\|g. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Recall that for all  $z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $Uz$  is defined by  $Uz = U(e + z) - Ue$ . So for all  $z \in \{e\}^\perp$ ,  $U(e + z) = Ue + Uz$ . This result, part (a), lemma 1.10 and lemma 1.12 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} U(x + y) &= U((a + b)e + \|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g) = U \left( (a + b) \left( e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) \right) \\ &= (a + b)^\theta U \left( e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) = (a + b)^\theta \left( Ue + U \left( \frac{\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g}{a + b} \right) \right) \\ &= (a + b)^\theta Ue + U(\|x_\perp\|f + \|y_\perp\|g) = a^\theta Ue + b^\theta Ue + \|x_\perp\|Uf + \|y_\perp\|Ug \\ &= a^\theta \left( Ue + \frac{\|x_\perp\|}{a^\theta} Uf \right) + b^\theta \left( Ue + \frac{\|y_\perp\|}{b^\theta} Ug \right) \\ &= a^\theta U \left( e + \frac{\|x_\perp\|}{a^\theta} f \right) + b^\theta U \left( e + \frac{\|y_\perp\|}{b^\theta} g \right) \\ &= U(e + \|x_\perp\|f) + U(e + \|y_\perp\|g) = Ux + Uy. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We have proved (c). To prove (d), first note that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle ae + \|x_\perp\|f, be + \|y_\perp\|g \rangle = a^*b$ , and that lemma 1.5 implies that  $\{Ue, Uf, Ug\}$  is an orthonormal set. These results and part (c) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ux, Uy \rangle &= \langle U(ae + \|x_\perp\|f), U(be + \|y_\perp\|g) \rangle \\ &= \langle a^\theta Ue + \|x_\perp\|Uf, b^\theta Ue + \|y_\perp\|Ug \rangle \\ &= (a^*b)^\theta = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

□

**Lemma 1.15** ( $U$  is unique up to a phase factor). *Let  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be the map defined by definition 1.13. Let  $\theta$  be the unique member of  $\{I, I^*\}$  such that  $U$  is  $\theta$ -unitary. Let  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $\eta \in \{I, I^*\}$  be arbitrary.*

- (a) *If  $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 2$ ,  $\eta \in \{I, I^*\}$ ,  $V$  is  $\eta$ -unitary, and  $Vx \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ , then  $\eta = \theta$  and there's a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $V = \lambda U$ .*
- (b) *For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\lambda| = 1$ ,  $\lambda U$  is  $\theta$ -unitary and  $(\lambda U)x \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* (a): The assumption that  $V$  is  $\eta$ -unitary implies that  $V0 = V(0 \cdot 0) = 0^\eta V(0) = 0$ . Since we also assumed that  $Vx \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ ,  $V$  satisfies the assumptions of lemma 1.5.

We will prove that  $V$  is injective. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $Vx = Vy$ . We have  $V(x - y) = 0$ , and therefore

$$0 = \langle V(x - y), V(x - y) \rangle = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle^\eta = \|x - y\|^2. \tag{38}$$

This implies that  $x = y$ . So  $V$  is injective.

Let  $\{x, y\}$  be an arbitrary linearly independent set in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We will prove that  $\{Vx, Vy\}$  is linearly independent. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are complex numbers such that  $aVx + bVy = 0$ . Then  $V(a^\eta x + b^\eta y) = 0$ . Since  $V$  is injective, this implies that  $a^\eta x + b^\eta y = 0$ . Since  $\{x, y\}$  is linearly independent, this implies that  $a = b = 0$ . So  $\{Vx, Vy\}$  is linearly independent.

For all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ , we have  $[Vx] = T[x] = [Ux]$ . This implies that there exists a function  $c : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $|c(x)| = 1$  and  $Vx = c(x)Ux$ . We will prove that  $c$  is a constant function.

$$\begin{aligned} V(x + y) &= c(x + y)Ux + Uy = c(x + y)Ux + c(x + y)Uy, \\ V(x + y) &= Vx + Vy = c(x)Ux + c(y)Uy. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

This implies that  $(c(x + y) - c(x))Ux + (c(x + y) - c(y))Uy$ . Since  $\{Ux, Uy\}$  is linearly independent, this implies that  $c(x) = c(x + y) = c(y)$ . Since the right-hand side is independent of  $x$ ,  $c$  must be a constant function.

We will prove that  $\eta = \theta$  by deriving a contradiction from the assumption that this is false. So suppose that  $\eta \neq \theta$ . This means that either  $\theta = I$  and  $\eta = I^*$  or  $\theta = I^*$  and  $\eta = I$ . Suppose that  $\theta = I$  and  $\eta = I^*$ . (The other possibility can be dealt with by making a few obvious changes in the argument we're about to make). Let  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$  be arbitrary.

$$V(ix) = c(ix)U(ix) = c(ix)iUx, \quad (40)$$

$$V(ix) = i^*Vx = -ic(x)Ux. \quad (41)$$

Since  $\|Ux\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \neq 0$ ,  $Ux \neq 0$ . So the above implies that for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ ,  $c(ix) = -c(x)$ . Since  $c$  is a constant function, this implies that  $c(x) = 0$ . So  $Vx = c(x)Ux = 0$ . This implies that  $0 = \|Vx\|^2 = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle^\eta = \|x\|^2 \neq 0$ , which is obviously false.

(b): Define  $V = \lambda U$ . For all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$[Vx] = [(\lambda U)x] = [\lambda(Ux)] = \lambda[Ux] = \lambda T[x] = T[\lambda x] = T[x]. \quad (42)$$

The last equality follows from the assumption that  $|\lambda| = 1$ . This result implies that  $Vx \in T[x]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H} - \{0\}$ .

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V(ax + by) &= \lambda U(ax + by) = \lambda(a^\theta Ux + b^\theta Uy) = a^\theta Vx + b^\theta Vy, \\ \langle Vx, Vy \rangle &= \langle \lambda Ux, \lambda Uy \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

□